

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Georkeshia Denise Campbell,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company
(U338E),

Defendant.

Case 14-04-028
(Filed April 25, 2014)

ORDER EXTENDING STATUTORY DEADLINE

Summary

This decision extends the statutory deadline in this proceeding to October 25, 2015.

1. Background

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(e) provides that adjudicatory cases shall be resolved within 12 months of the date that they are initiated unless the Commission makes findings as to why that deadline cannot be met and issues an order extending that deadline. The 12-month deadline for resolution of this case is April 25, 2015.

On April 25, 2014, Georkeshia Denise Campbell (Complainant), filed a complaint against Southern California Edison Company (SCE). This complaint was filed pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 1702 and Article IV

of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission. Complainant challenges SCE's right to enter the premises to service a utility pole, located approximately "200 paces" beyond the gate giving access to the premises. On June 2, 2014, SCE timely filed the answer to the complaint and also filed a motion to dismiss alleging that SCE *"has a Grant of Easement dated June 2, 1954 that is duly recorded in the Official Records of the County of Los Angeles; and, in any event, the Commission does not have jurisdiction to award the requested relief."* (Motion to Dismiss at page 2.)

A prehearing conference was held on August 1, 2014, and the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued a ruling on August 14, 2014, directing parties to exchange information and giving Complainant opportunity to respond to the motion to dismiss. On September 29, 2014, the proceeding was submitted.

A 6-month extension of the statutory deadline until October 25, 2015, is necessary because the assigned ALJ had necessity to place priority upon other assigned SCE proceedings which required resolution during the first quarter of this year. Although the decision will be mailed to the parties for public review and comments pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311 before April 25, 2015, it will not appear on a Commission Agenda before May 21, 2015. Therefore, a 6-month extension of the statutory deadline until October 25, 2015, is appropriate.

2. Waiver of Comment Period

Under Rule 14.6(c)(4) of the Commission's Rules, the Commission may reduce or waive the period for public review and comment of proposed decisions extending the deadline for resolving adjudicatory proceedings. Accordingly, pursuant to this rule, the otherwise applicable period for public review and comment is waived.

3. Assignment of Proceeding

Michel Peter Florio is the assigned Commissioner and Patricia B. Miles is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. The 12-month deadline for resolving this complaint case is April 25, 2015.
2. An extension of time until October 25, 2015, is necessary because the assigned ALJ had necessity to place priority upon other assigned SCE proceedings which required resolution during the first quarter of this year.

Conclusions of Law

1. The statutory deadline imposed by Public Utilities Code Section 1701.2(e) should be extended until October 25, 2015.
2. This order should be effective immediately.

IT IS ORDERED that the statutory deadline in this proceeding is extended until October 25, 2015.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.